FJ20 File No – 1-20

Police – Ser. Nos – 60, 61, 63, 66, 67, 68, 72 to 82

G. R. S.-IX-5]

Previous references.

Preserve

1920.

(police)

Later references.

File No. 1-20

Serial Nos. 60, 61, 63, 66 67, 68, 72, 7388.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Nil

Part B for July 1922.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of the Sivacharyas of Mangala, Gundlapet Taluk, against the order of the District Magistrate Coimbatore, permitting the Parivar of the place to take a bridal pair in procession on two horses.

8(82)

To the D. M. Coimbatore

No. P 107 of 5.7.22

Dismisses the appeal & observes that the claim put forward by the Sivacharis is preposterous and cannot therefore be recognised

WD 1888—GPB—16,626—23-7-21

Sd. Nazeer Hussain
15/7/22

F. R. S. 11] 1920

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 1—20

FILE HEADING.

Petitions from the Mysore Dist.

From

No. Dated Received

Diary No. Enclos. Spare Copies. Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Telegram.

H60

From

Veerathappa, Hangala.

Requests for the stoppage of the procession of Pariwara people of Hangala as ordered by the D.t. Magistrate, Dhgpte S.C.

Draft calling for a report is submitted for approval and orders.

1815

2nd Member

D.M. 1815 6502 18-5-21

2) Approved

20/5 M.

3) Memo drawn to-day

D.M. 1915

SE No 61

Do see D.M. Dhgpte Dt. No G 21904 dt 20-5-1921 forwarded also of the above for favour of a report in the matter.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

From

Veerathappa Hangala

Telegram G 26.5.21.

Reports that the procession may ordered to be stopped.

4) This report has not been received from the D.M.

24/6 Sent Note

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Of course, nothing of this kind can be done —

5) Mr. is unusual to take any action of this kind before the receipt of the telegram from the Party.

6) The telegram may perhaps be forwarded to the W.O. marked "Secret" before the letter.

Yes

7) The Party may perhaps be informed that this ~~petn~~ has been forwarded to the W.O. ~~and will be passed~~ to whom it may apply

D.M. 25/5

Yes

Rem: secy

8) Please see margin.

25.5.21

9) Subd for perusal

Chief Secy)

D.M. 25/5

10) Yes. A reply has been entered, stating that replies may be expected at once

25/5

11) Please reply by wire at once

D.M. 25/5

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

5)

G.R.S. III—3]

24385 25489 2H017

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

IV)

A reply by wire to the party was issued with reference to paras 10 & 11. A draft O.M. is put up with reference to para 6. A draft endt to the petitioner returning the reply voucher for Rs 1-8-0 is also submitted.

SBP

27/5/21

Jr. M. 31/5

To Sec D. M. Lahore Dy. No. 4 23838 dt 26.5.21

Forwards herewith telegrams and requests that the report called for may be effected

To Veera Khatri " " " No 4 23839 dt 26.6.21

Informs the above

From the Same. No. Roc 1844/g20-21 dt 31/6 th June 1921 states with reference to sl 61 above that the procession passed off

Sl no 63

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1567

(5)

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 1 of 1920

Serial No.

smoothly on the 26th May 1921.

16) Subd. for perusal.

15) An appeal petition by the Sivaites residents of Haugala against the Parivara of the village stating that the Dist Magistrate's order is opposed to long-established manool and praying that a Stay order may be passed pending disposal of the appeal is placed in the files

14) It is for orders whether any action has to be taken thereon, now that the procession is said to have taken place without any hitch

SbR 7/6

17) The procession has taken place without any trouble. No notices are given both parties to date fixed for hearing this will perhaps be satisfied.

18) If appd, a suitable date will be suggested after consulting the P.A.

P.M. 8/6

7090/8/6/21 2nd Mendis 9/6 Appd. Please see me about this. Date may be fixed for hearing 14/6/21 HMS

HMS 15/6/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

25489

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 1 of 1920

Serial No.

21) Please put up Wfb. notices for perusal and date as it may be convenient to the Revenue Secy

P. M. 16/6

To the Asst. Secy. III Branch for perusal and suggesting the date

Secy. 17/6

21) 3 P.M. on Saturday the 9th prox. will be convenient to the Member.

M. 20/6/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol. File No. 1 of 1920

Serial No. 66

From the D.M., Mysore Dist/-
No. ROC 1844/J20-21 dt/ 10/13.6.1921
Invites reference to his office letter dt/ 3/6.6.1921 re. the procession of the Pariahs people at Hangala in the Gundlupet Taluk & returns the enclosures.

Subd. for perusal. The main file is now before the Member as marking in the Branch Diary shows.

SBB 18/6

The Dist. Mag. was requested from Mysore vide letter dt. 21/6

b) Notices to the parties, an order to the Taluk Amildar for their Service and a letter to the Advocate representing the appellants are put-up for signature and approval.

Subd. with reference to para 21.

SBB 22/6

APM 23/6

SUE & RESUBMIT.

Issues to send the file to the 7th A. & the Member

D.M.

Issues vide G.O. 24969/Pol-1-20-67/2 Encls dt 25th July 1921.

Issuing the notices to the parties

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2-7-21
28/9

(8

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.R. File No. 1 of 1922.

Serial No.

68. To Sri. G. Paramasivaiah
Advocate Bangalore city
No. G. 24940 dt. 25.6.1921
States that the case has been posted for hearing on Saturday the 9th July 1921 at 3 P.M. before the II member & council

To the P.A to the II member of Council with compliments
2/7/21

From the same.
Letter d/ 27th June 1921
Requests that the above appeal may be adjourned to some other date as he would be away from the Station in connection with a criminal case.
25) Subd. for orders
Illegible P.A
2nd Member 2/7

Subd to Asst Secy. (Proln).
The II Member has ordered that the case may be adjourned to 16th Saturday (3 pm) that fresh notices may be issued.
ASP 3/7 27/ Fresh notices ices

Taken from the Asst. Secy's table. on 6/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 1 of 1920

Serial No.

as per Members orders above have been putup and a draft letter intimating the fact to the Counsel representing the appellants is also subd.

SBR

7/7/21. 28) Mano. Issue to-day

Nis shd have been brought by 12 noon to-day instead of now (4.35 P.M.)

D M)

42 30 Issue Amidon Gundalpet

No d 82 dt 4.7.1921

Issuing the notices to the parties

43 30 Dr. R. Paramaswamy

No d 83 dt 4.7.1921

Stating that the case has been adjourned to Saturday the 16th July 1921

To 27) The Personal Asst to the II Member

42 and 43 found in the file at the time of receiving

15/7/21.

Subd with the file

15/7 30) The Respondents Advocate Mr H. Krishnaraja Aiyar asked for an adjournment to 23rd Saturday (4 PM) being found convenient both by him & the Appellants Counsel Mr Paramasivaya, that may be then been fixed accordingly

SBR 16/7

37) Mr Parameswara Aiyar for appellants

Mr Krishnaraja Aiyar for the respondents

Adjourned to 23rd inst.

23/7/21

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P&R File No. 1 of 1922

Serial No.

32) No claim put forward by the Chiefs Chiefs that the Darwars & the Villages should not take two lines in their marriage processions and that both the bride and bridegroom' seen sit on one horse only is preposterous and a manner like this even if it exists is ~~preposterous~~ unreasonable and contrary to public working and should not be encouraged. The order of the District Magistrate is quite correct and this appeal is dismissed.

Sd
15/6/22

33) As. for Secretary

Sd 17/6.
cag
17.6

34) Draft Rod
Secy
19.6.22
WD
19/6

35) Secretary to the Govt Legal Secy.
cag
20/6

36) Rod with typed draft
Secy
21.6.22
Govt Secy)
21/6
cag
23/6

37) Draft be approved

Sd 23/6

Govt Secy
cag
30/6.

38) Secy
3.7.22
cag
3/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 25/7 of 19 . .

Serial No. 89

The District Magistrate, Mysore.

P.107 of 6.7.22

Observes that the claim put forward by the Shivachars is preposterous and manual like this even if it exists is unreasonable and contrary to public policy and cannot therefore be recognised and dismisses the appeal

Mr. Krishnappa, Adv. for Respt

Mr. Paramasivaiah Adv. for Appt.

P. 108. 9 of 6.7.22

Send copy of the above order.

SECRETARIAT B 12 JUL 1922 JUDICIAL BRANCH 12/7/22

12/7/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

POSTS & TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Charged to pay.

Rs. 18 5 A21

Date of Receipt 14 5 2

Tel'n. Regr. No.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
TO. Gundlupet	17	11	55	Police 1-20-60	36
				Recd. here at 17 H. 5 M.	

Dewan of mysore

Bangalore

Dsstrict magistrate ordered parivara People of hangala to go on procession on two horses as this is against manool pray issue orders stop procession else serious results will follow = Veerathappa bangala =

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons—672—22-5-20—2,50,000 Elks. (Edn. II).





(13
= 2401-7
-19
27-20

2295

304 Pro B/
REV. SECT
Ch Sectt
9 MAY 1921

The Government of Mysore 1—20

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Petitioners:-

1. Chennaveerappa, son of Bhogappa,
2. Badappa son of Subbappa.
3. Nanjappa son of Veerabhappa

All Shivachar residents of Haugala village, Gundlupet Taluq, Mysore District.

Opponents:- Lakshman Naick & other Patwari of the said Haugala village.

Petition

Mayo Archaka of the calling for records with reasons for not having submitted them D.M. 19/5

Why the records were not called for as required? D.M. 21/5

N.C.B.

The Petitioners above-named most respectfully beg to appeal against the order of the District Magistrate of Mysore District dated the 6th May, 1921 regarding Licence Petition no: 5 of 1920-21, on the following among other grounds:-

1. That the opponents applied to the District magistrate on 13-8-1920

asking for permission to make use of 2 horses one for the bride and another for the bride-groom during a marriage procession of theirs, and a report was called for from the Amildar, Gundlupet Taluq, who submitted a report dated 2/4-10-1920, based on local enquiry.

2. That the learned District Magistrate even before receiving the report which was called for from the Amildar is said to have passed an order in favour of the opponents on 28-8-1920.

3. That it has been finally settled as far back as the year 1891, that the members belonging to the opponents' community should not be allowed to make use of two horses, and they have been strictly following the same manool since then.

5. That the learned District Magistrate had confirmed his

15

order dated 28-8-20 which is not based upon any local enquiry, by this order now under appeal.

5. That the learned district magistrate's order, if given effect to, will create dissensions in the village, which were unknown hitherto, as it would subvert the long established custom & manual based upon what is called Panas, the opponents being followers of 11 Panas (ಹನ್ನೊಂದು ಪಾಲು).

That the Petitioners most respectfully pray that the above order of the District magistrate, Mysore District, which is opposed to long established custom & usage be set aside & that a stay order be passed, so as not to give effect to the District magistrate's Order during the pendency of this appeal.

That certified copies (three)

of the accused passed in 1891 have been enclosed herewith.

Bangalore
17-8-1921

G. Paramashivaiah
Adv for Petitioner.

TWO ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ COURT FEE MYSORE STAMP EIGHT ANNAS

In the Court of the District Magistrate of Mysore District

Dated this 6th day of May 1921.

Present P. F. Bowring Esquire J.P.
District Magistrate

License Petition No 5 of 1920-21.

Petitioner vs Opponents.

Lakshmana Naika and other Parivars of Hangala village Yelandur Taluk. | Basappa and other Siregaras of Hangala village.

Application filed by the petitioners praying that they may be permitted to Conduct their marriage processions on two horses, and that necessary orders may be issued to the Amildar accordingly in the matter

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

18

Order.

Heard Mr. K. Ramaswamie for the petitioners and Mr. M. K. Krishnaiah for the opponents. I have read the Amildar's report dated 20/4th October 1920 which apparently he made on seeing called for a report from this office on a petition dated 13th August 1920.

As however on 28th August 1920, I passed an order to the effect that the Pariahs may be allowed to have 2 horses for their marriage processions, and that if any one objected or created

a disturbance he should
be arrested and dealt with
afterwards, the Builder's
report which betrays an
unwillingness to take
responsibility in the matter
was under the circumstances
unnecessary.

In future it is ordered that
licenses for the Paraiyars
to use 2 horses in their
processions one for the
bride and one for the
bride groom to go in any
street may be granted
and if when granting
the License the local au-
thorities anticipate any

TWO ANNAS

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

trouble, they should inform the Superintendent of Police who is directed to make such arrangements as are necessary to prevent any disturbances.

Mysore } Sd/- P. F. Bowring
4th May 1921 } District Magistrate

Copy N.

"True Copy"

Sd/- [illegible]

for Prol: Head Nowshi
2/10-5-1921.

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
9/5/21	10/5/21	10/5/21	–	9/5/21

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
10/5/21	10/5/21	[illegible]	[illegible]

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

COURT FEE EIGHT ANNAS

"Copy"

B.V. Krishnamurthy
Judicial Clerk
Gundlupet

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
11-9-20	9-20	20.9.20	"	"

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
20.9.20	20.9.20	[illegible]	[illegible]

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

MYSORE

COURT FEE EIGHT ANNAS

ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಫೀ ಎಂಟು ಆಣೆ

ಹುಂಗಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಸಬ್ಬಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿರುವ ಪರಿವಾರದ ನಂಜನಗೂಡು

ಜೆಂಡರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದಿರುವ ಶಿಂಕರಣಿಗೆ ಜೊಂಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ದಸ್ತಾ-

ಲೈಸನ್ಸ್ ಪಡೆದ ಸ್ಟೋಡಿಸಿಯಿಂದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾರ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಪಡಾಳಿ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು

29-6-91 ರಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದ ವಾಗಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಟೋಡಿಸಿಯಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಹುಂಗಳ ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಸಬ್ಬಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿರುವ ಯುಷ್ಟಾಂದಿಕೆ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಸೇರಿದ ಜೊಂಡಕ್ಕೆ

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾರ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕೃಷಿಯಾಂ ಕ್ಯಾಡಿದ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂ ವಿ ——

ಗುಂಡುಪೇಲೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯಿರುವ ಜೊಂಡಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ದಸ್ತಾ-

ಲೈಸನ್ಸ್ ವಂದು ಪುರಸಭೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಗುಂಡುಪೇಲೆ ಸಹಾ ಪುರಸಭೆ

ಕೊಂಡು ಪ್ರಾರ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಸುವಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪುರಸಭೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಜೊಂಡರ

ಸಲಹೆ ಕಾರಣ ಅಂತಾಗಿ ಜರಗಿಸಿ ಕೆಂಪು ದಿನಾಂಕ ಅಂದಾಜಿ

ಕೆಂಪು ದಿನಾಂಕದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪುರಸಭೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಸಹಿ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ — 29-6-91

ಅಂಚೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸೀಲು

ಸಹಿ

M Krishna Rao.
Jndl. Clerk
Tahsildar's Office
Gundlupet

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
11.9.20.	20.9.20.	30-9-20	"	"

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
20.9.20.	30.9.20	[illegible]	[illegible]

TWO ANNAS

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

GOVT OF MYSORE

COURT FEE EIGHT ANNAS

ಕಂಸಾಳ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಶೀಧರ ಸಂಜೀವಯ್ಯನವರು

ಬೆಂಕಟಾಪುರದ ಶೀಧರ ಸಂಜೀವಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರ

ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ನಾವೀರ್ವರಿಗೂ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ

ನಂಬರಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಇಂದು

ನಡೆಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇದ್ದದ್ದು 22-6-41ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೂ ಮುಂದೂಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ

ಕಾರಣಾಂತರಗಳಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು

ಯಿಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾನು 25 ಸಾವಿರಂಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿ ಕಾಫಿತೋಟವನ್ನು

ಕ್ರಯಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಯಿವನಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಶ್ಚಯಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರವನ್ನು 2ನೇ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯಾದ ಶೀಧರ ಸಂಜೀವಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದುಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ

ಕರಾರುಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಕರಾರುಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಯಿಂದು

ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 5 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು 2ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು

ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದಲೂ ಸದರಿ ಕರಾರುಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಯಿಂದು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 5 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

ಕಾಲಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಕರಾರುಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 5 ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ

ಬರೆದುಕೊಡದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಯಿಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ

ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ನಡೆಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿಷ್ಟವಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ನಡೆಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿಷ್ಟವಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು

ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದಲೂ ಸದರಿ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ ಸದರಿ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಯಿಂದಿನ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ಖರ್ಚುವೆಚ್ಚಗಳನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವ ನಾವೀರ್ವರೂ ಸಮಪಾಲಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಸದರಿ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವ ಖರ್ಚುವೆಚ್ಚಗಳನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವ

ನಾವೀರ್ವರೂ ಸಮಪಾಲಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗಿರುವ

ಖರ್ಚುವೆಚ್ಚಗಳನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವ ನಾವೀರ್ವರೂ

ಸಮಪಾಲಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಆಗಿರುವ ಖರ್ಚುವೆಚ್ಚಗಳನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವ ನಾವೀರ್ವರೂ

ಸಮಪಾಲಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲ

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
11-9-20	20.9.20	30.9.20	"	"

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
20.9.20	30-9-20	[illegible]	[illegible]

The Government of ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Bangalore

1920—21ನೇ ನಂಬರು.

~~ಹಾಜಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜದಾರ್.~~ petitioners
Cheluvarayappa
+ 2 others

Vs.

~~ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪ್ಪೋನೆಂಟ್.~~ opponents
Lakshmana Nair
+ others

ಮಾನ್ಯರಾಗಿ G. Paramasivaiah B.A. L.L.B. ಯವರಿಗೆ.
Advocate
Pleader

ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅಖ್ಯೈರುವರುನಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯವಾ ಅರ್ಜಿರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಸೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಸೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮುತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

G.P.

G. Paramasivaiah
Adv. for Petitioners

ತಾರೀಖು Bangalore 17-5-1921

17 ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್ವರ ಅಂಡ್ ಕೊ, ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

Sri Venkatesa Press, Bangalore City.

DRAFT.

25

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Police
File No. 1—20
Serial No. 64

No. G 2-1907(1)

Diary No. of letter replied to 23901

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Police)
, *the*.....191 .

Date of issue 2/5

Signed by...

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ copy of Telegram Registered No. 23901 dated 17th addressed to the Dewan and Mary 1921, received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Veerabhadra, Hangala, Gundlupet T.K, complaining that the Dist Magte has ordered the Panchama people of Hangala to go in procession & praying that orders may issue for the stoppage of the procession

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures.~~ with compliments to the Dist Magte, Mysore Dist, for furnishing a report in the matter

~~for~~.....

.....

D 1/1875

By Order,

for *Secretary to Government.*
Rev Dept

1875

P.T.O.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.....ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನಂಬರು.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ.....

ಮರುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order,

Secretary to Government.

List of enclosures

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD-1255—GPB—5,000—21-1-19

-FL-

126

Telegram dated 17th May 1921 from Veerathappa Hangala, Gundlupet.

***

District Magistrate ordered parivara people of hangala to go in procession on two horses as this is against Mamool pray issue orders stop procession else serious results will fodlow.

******

"COPY"

For Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department.

gm

KNN

POSTS & TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.

TO

Recd. here at:. H. M.

N B.—The name of the S. ;der, if telegraphed, is written after the

Lal Chand & Sons—632—23-5-20—3,50,000 Bks. (Edn. II)

C. Rs. 14.30 pm

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

83 / 28

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this telegram.

Charges to pay

Rs. 2.7.5 As. 14 pm

Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minute. | Service Instructions. | Words.

Urgent | 25 | 14 | 30 | | 24

Recd. here | 14 | H. | 36 M.

Urgent

Dr. Tagore

Natural dus Edge

Dewan Bahadur

Gangaboe.

Moved 17th 24th from

orders stop procession

tomorrow else serious

results will follow

Venkatachar

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Kal Chand & Sons—632—22-5-20—2,50,000 Bks. (Edn. II).

C. 29/

POSTS & TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.

TO —

Recd. here at H. M.

2

Bariyala=

N. B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Kal Chand & Sons—632—22-5-20—2,50,000 Bks. (Edn. II).

G. R. S. III-2]

(30

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

30

A. 1. 15

Class Prefix } Code:

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

Inland Telegram.

No.

Space for Postage Stamps.

The Stamps must be affixed by the Sender and must not overlap. Any Stamp for which there is not room here should be affixed at the back of this Form.

Stamps cut, torn or defaced are not accepted.

Office of Origin, Date and Service Instructions.

Words.

Sent at H. M.

To

By

Charge. Rs. A.

Nothing to be written by the Sender above this line.

Rates for Inland Telegrams.

	First twelve words. Rs. A. P.	Each additional word. Rs. A. P.
Ordinary	0 12 0	0 1 0
Express	1 8 0	0 2 0

If this telegram is to be classed EXPRESS write the Class here.

If this telegram is on State business the word STATE should be written in this space.

When a reply is to be prepaid, write the words "Reply Paid" and the amount in the space below. These words are not charged for.

TO { Name Veerathappa
Address Hangala
Telegraph Office Gundlupet

NOTICE. PLEASE GIVE A FULL ADDRESS.

Telegram forwarded to District Magistrate Mysore apply to the officer Geiseo

FROM

NOT TO BE Signature Bhakadwar Asst Eng

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI.—a/7]

31

DRAFT.

-2 2613

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2385

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the*.....*191*

Date of issue 6/6

Signed by... M. Rm

Section. ~~Police~~

File No. 1-20

Serial No.

No. G 23838 Ests

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ copies of telegrams Regis-
tered No. 2385 dated 24th & addressed to the Deputy & 25th May 1911, received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Veerabhadra, Hungund, Gundlupet Taluk regarding Panivara people going in procession

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ with compliments to the Dist Magte, Mysore Dist in continuation of this office No. G 2407/Police 1-20-61 d/ 20th May 1911 with the request that the report called for may be expedited

Kmd

By Order,

31/5

for Rev Secretary to Government.

SGR 27/5

P.T.O.

No.24-385

Telegram/dated 24th May 1921 from Veerathappa, Hangala, Gundlupet Taluk.

****

Parivara people making procession to morrow for the marriage completed last year against custom pray orders to stop same by -- telegram. Hangala.

Telegram dated 25th May 1921 from Veerathappa, Hangala Gundlupet, Taluk.

****

Wired 17th and 24th pray orders stop procession to-morrow else serious results will follow.

" C O P Y "

For Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

ACH.

G. R. S. VI.—G/9]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. ~~Police~~

File No. ~~1~~ 10

Serial No. —

Diary No. of letter replied to 2385

No. R 23837(1)

ENDORSEMENT.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the.....*191* .

Granted to Veerathappa

Hungala, Gundlupet

Taluk.

Date of issue..... 6/6

Signed by..... M. Rao

In reply to his ~~petition~~ telegram dated 17th

11th and 15th May 1921 to

the Dewan, regarding

the marriage procession

of the Paravan people Veerathappa

is informed that ~~they~~ have been

forwarded to the D.M.,

Mysore Dist. & that

he may apply to that

Officer.

2. The reply voucher

for Rs 1-8-0 need from

him is returned herewith

By Order,

D. M.

31/5/21

For Rev Secretary to Government.

S&R

27/5

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore the 3rd June 1921.

DIARY No. 25489

Pol Sec 1-20-61

From

J.F.Boring, Esquire,

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

D.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No.S.21907/ Pol.1-20-61 dated the 20th May 1921 forwarding for report copy ofatelegram dated the 17th idem, from one Veerathappa of Hangala, Gundlupet taluk, in regard to the permission granted the Lariwar people to take their bridal pair in procession on two horses, I have the honor to state that the procession passed off smoothly on the 26th May 1921.

The enclosure received with the above is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J.F.Boring

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

02304

19

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Revenue Secretary to Govt.

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 3/6 June 1921

Despatched

Received 7 JUN 1921 GEN & REV. SECTT.

General No. Roc 1844/ja...

No.

Enclosure No. 7

Submitting a Report on the petition from Mangala people, Gundlupet Taluq Re the grant of a licence to the pairvas for bridal procession

3/6

5/6

No. 1866 (1)

Police 1—10—66

Office of the District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 10th June 1921.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No.G.23838 Police dated the 6th instant, forwarding for report copies of telegrams No.24385 dated 24 th and 25th May 1921, regarding the procession of the parivara people at Kangala in the Gundlupet taluk, I have the honor to invite reference to this office letter No.1844 M.O.C. dated 3/6th June 1921 on the subject.

2. The enclosures received with the above are herewith re-transmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

19

From

The District Magistrate,

Mysore District.

To

The Revenue Secretary to Govt.

of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 13/15 June 1921

Despatched

Received 18 JUN 1921

General No. Roc no 866

No. 1— 20-21

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting a report in the matter of Bridal procession at Hangala village in Jun dlu hob taluq

9750

36

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

Rc 1-20-67

ನಂಬರ್. ಕ 2496/65
Fol 1.20.67

ಸಂಬಳಕ್ಕೋಳಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸನ 1920—1921 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ~~ಮುಂದಾಯಿ~~ ಅಪ್ರೀಲ್ 25/6/21 ~~ಸೆಪ್ಟಂಬರ~~

ವಾದಿಗಳು —
ಪಂಚಳ್ಳದ ಹಿಕುವ
ಈ-ನ್ನ ವಿಕಳ್ಳ ವಾಕ್ಕ
ಇಕರ ೪ ವಾಚಮೆಕ್ಕನಿವಾಸಿಗಳು
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು —
ಪಂದಳ್ಳದ ಹಿಂದಿರು ಪ
ಕರಿವಾರದ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಶೆ-
ವಾಕ್ಕಿ ಇಕರನಿವಾಸಿಗಳು

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯು ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮೊದಲಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಪೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಂಗುವಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹನುರಪಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 22 ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ ಜೂನ್ 1921 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ ~~ಇತೆಂಡ್ಲಾಯ್ಕು.ಳು~~

By Order,

No. 167
129 20/6/21

For Revenue Secretary to Government,

GPB—500—13-8-18

57

1/43
3/7/21

1
3.7.21

H. Seeniwasalu
3/7/21

0629

GEN & REV. SECTT.
Received
6 JUL 1921

70
9

Mis. No. 11

P-17

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2611

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Revenue)

, the 191 .

Date of issue 25/6

Signed by Mr Rice

Section Revenue

File No. 1—20

Serial No

No. Govt

To

G. Paramesivan Esqr.,
B. A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Bangalore City

With reference to your appeal petition dt 17th May 1921 filed on behalf Chenn veerappa and other Sivacha residents of Hangala village Gundlupet Taluk regarding Unlicense petition of Lakshm Naick and other Patwaris of Hangala for permiss

over.

to conduct their marriage
procession on two horses,
I am directed to state that the case
in this matter has been posted for hearing
~~fixed at 3 R.M.~~ on Saturday
at 3 p.m
the 9th July 1921 before
the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ryan
of Council.

I have &c

Registrar

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. PARAMASIVIAH, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATE,
BANGALORE CITY.

5598

Analepet Chowk 40
CHICKPET.
Dated 27th June 1921.

Police 1-10-9 Sec 7

To,

B. Ramaswamaiya Esq. B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department.

GENERAL SECT.
30 JUN 1921

Sub-d for orders
D. No. 2/7
2-7-1921 (2nd Member)

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter no: G. 24970 dated 25-6-1921, intimating that ~~the~~ appeal petition dated 17th May, 1921 by Chennaverappa & other Sivachar residents of Hanpala village, Gundlupet Taluq, regarding the license petition of Lakshmana Naik and other Parivars for permission to conduct their marriage procession, I beg to state that I am due at Arsikere on 9-7-1921 in connection with a criminal case.

Hence I request you to kindly adjourn the above appeal to some other date

4

inform me the same.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

G Paramanath.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 28

Section Police

File No. (—20

Serial No. 73

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police)

No. 783C

, the 191

To G. Paramasivam Esqr,

B.A.P. LL.B.,

Advocate,

Bangalore City.

Date of issue 7/7

Signed by

Sir,

With reference to your letter dt 27th June 1921 regarding the license petition of Lr Krishnama Naik & other Paivars of Hengala for permission to conduct their marriage procession, I

over.

am directed to state
that the case has been
adjourned to saturday
the 16th july 1921 at 3 P.M.
before the Offg II Member
of Council

I have &c

D.M.

List of enclosures.

Copied by.

Examined by



ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಜಡ್ಜ್ ಮತ್ತು ಜೆ.ಎಂ.ಎಫ್.ಸಿ. ಕೋರ್ಟ್, ಗೌರಿಬಿದನೂರು.

191 —1 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ..... ನಂಬರು.

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

Vs

.....ರವರಿಗೆ.

.....ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರ್ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್‌ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೆಲ ವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು 7.7.21 } ರುಜು

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವರೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಫಾರಂ ವಿ. ಯೆಸ್. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಂ ಅಂಡ್‌ಕೊ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪೇಟೆ ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ದೊರಿಯುತ್ತೆ.

ಸತ್ಯಂಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯಯ್ಯ ಕೃಷ್ಣಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ, ಬುಕ್ಕಡಿಪೊ— ಶಾರದಾ ವಿಲಾಸ ಪ್ರಿಸ್ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ—೧೯೧೧

Pol I-20-82 65

Proceedings.

Read.-

Telegram dated 17-5-1921 from Veerathappa of Hangala, Gundlupet Taluk, appealing against the order of the District Magistrate Mysore, permitting the Parivars of the place to take their bridal pair in procession on two horses.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. R.O.C. 1844/J.20-21 dated 10/13-6-1921, from the District Magistrate, Mysore District, in the matter.

Order No. 807 dated 5/7/21.

On a careful consideration of the facts connected with the case and after hearing the parties concerned, Government are pleased to observe that the claim put forward by the Shivachars that the Parivars of the village should not take two horses in their marriage processions and that both the bride and the bridegroom should sit on one horse only and a mamool like this, even if it exists, is unreasonable and contrary to public policy and cannot therefore be recognised.

2. The order of the District Magistrate is therefore upheld and this appeal is dismised.

29/6.

General Secretary to Govt.

To

The Dist. Magistrate, Mysore.

Copy

46. P108 2.

Mr.
Copy to H. Krishnappa, Advocate for the Respondant.

Copy to Mr. G. Paramasiviah, Advocate for the Appellant.

P109

C.R.G.